

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,213	03/22/2004	Chun Ta Lee	3301	
25859 WEI TE CHUI	7590 07/10/2007		EXAMINER	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.			FIGUEROA, FELIX O	
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2833	
÷ .	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
10/807,213	LEE ET AL.	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alt Ollit		

•	Felix O. Figueroa	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	71 ⊑ below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>19-27</u> would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-27. Claim(s) objected to: 31. Claim(s) rejected: 28-30,32 and 33.		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attack	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	, , , , , ,		
		/Falls O Figure 1	
		/Felix O. Figueroa/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2833	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that "the difference between the instant invention and the Pernet reference, i.e., the transverse extension of the contact section being formed on the first contact (the instant invention) instead of on the second contact (the Pemet reference), is NOT only the rearrangement involving the routine skill for simplifying the construction of the second contact (of the invention), but counterbalancing the resiliencies between the first contact and the second contact of the instant invention for achieving better reliable mechanical force dispersion and the associated electrical connection", please note that the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).